



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Peggy M. Tomasula

Title:

PRODUCTION OF HIGH PROTEIN CONCENTRATES

Attorney Docket No.: 862.004US1

# PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

#### **BOX PATENT APPLICATION**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

We are transmitting herewith the following attached items and information (as indicated with an "X"):

X Utility Patent Application under 37 CFR § 1.53(b) comprising:

- X Specification (13 pgs, including claims numbered 1 through 14).
  - X Signed Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney (1 pgs).
  - X Check in the amount of \$760.00 to pay the filing fee.

Assignment of the invention to <u>United States of America</u> (<u>1</u> pgs) and Recordation Form Cover Sheet.

Check in the amount of \$40.00 to pay the Assignment recording fee.

Return postcard.

The filing fee has been calculated below as follows:

	No. Filed	No. Extra	Rate	Fee
	No. Filed	No. Extra	Kate	rec
TOTAL CLAIMS	14 - 20 =	0	x 18 =	\$0.00
ENDEPENDENT CLAIMS	1 - 3 =	0	x 78 =	\$0.00
I ] MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS PI	RESENTED			\$0.00
BĄŚIC FEE				\$760.00
<b>v</b> 4.	TOTAL			\$760.00

Please charge any additional required fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612-373-6900)

Atty: Mark A. Litman Reg. No. 26,390

### Customer Number 21186

"Express Mail" mailing label number: <u>EL106841179US</u>	Date of Deposit: February 10, 1999
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United State	
CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Co	mmissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, D.C. 20231.
By:Chris Hammond	Signature: Chio Hammand

USDA INV. DISC. 0009.096 SLWK NO. 862.004US1

# PRODUCTION OF HIGH PROTEIN CONCENTRATES

5

10

ũ

25

30

#### **BACKGROUND**

### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to processes for concentrating protein, especially digestible protein from plants. The invention particularly relates to the concentration of protein from non-animal sources without contaminating the protein with ingredients used in a purification process.

### 2. Background of the Art

The use of food products derived from soy has been increasing significantly for the past twenty years. Many "imitation" foods such as artificial bacon, seafood, milks, beverages, and extenders use soy protein products, and the ability of processors to provide soy protein with improved taste, and the ability of food product companies to manufacture more realistic food products from soy have increased their palatability and commercial acceptability. These manufacturing features, in combination with health efforts to reduce the cholesterol in diets has led to an increased demand for soy protein, particularly in the form of soy protein concentrates or soy protein isolates. There is also an increased desire to find other non-animal sources of protein concentrates, such as from other grains, including, but not limited to corn, rye, wheat, and the like.

The term concentrate is generally considered in the art to include any product which contains higher concentrations of protein than the original source material. The actual percentages will vary, depending upon the source of the protein. Soy has one of the higher levels of native protein concentration, with certain genetic strains approaching fifty percent (50%) or higher. The term isolate, such as a soy protein isolate, usually refers to a concentrate with at least about 90% by total weight (e.g., 90-96% by weight) of solids as protein. Most grain or vegetable sources (hereinafter collectively referred to as vegetable protein, even if the non-

30

5

10

animal source is not strictly a vegetable) have to be significantly treated to provide an isolate or a concentrate with more than 60% by weight of total solids as protein.

Conventional concentrating processes depend upon direct chemical treatment of the source vegetable matter to concentrate the protein. For example, raw soy products such as soy meal, soy flakes, and soy flour are treated with acid (e.g., hydrochloric acid) to precipitate protein and separate the protein from whey, sugars, oils and proteins which will not precipitate. Some of the acid remains in the protein precipitate and must be removed by additional processing either specific or generic to removal of the acid residue. As the acid is undesirable from many standpoints of flavor, aesthetics and health, it is important that substantially all of the acid is removed. The processing necessary to do this may be sufficiently harsh as to reduce the value and content of the soy protein concentrate or soy protein isolate produced by the acid treatment process.

There are also many physical processes for producing protein-rich products from grains. U.S. Patent No. 5,135,765, for example, describes a process for producing a protein-rich product from brewer's spent grain containing germ, husks and a proteinaceous material. The process requires the use of high water content spent grain (e.g., at least about 65% water by weight), passing the wet spent grain through a mill to press and grind the solids, and then sieving the spent grain in water to produce an at least 50% by weight protein product. After formation of the first concentrate, the coarse fraction may be extracted with alkaline aqueous solution at elevated temperature to form an extract, and the extract is acidified to form a further concentrated protein rich precipitate.

It is well known that soy bean products may have undesirable taste components. These components are known to be reduced or removed by selection of unique varieties of soy beans for the original source, heating an intermediate soy bean product to reduce lipoxygenase, extraction with an aqueous solution, extraction with an alkali solution, extraction with a reducing agent (e.g., see U.S. Patent No. 5,023,104), extraction with organic solvents (e.g., removal of chlorohydrins from hydrolyzed protein compositions in U.S. Statutory Registration No. H989) and extraction with high pressure or supercritical carbon dioxide (e.g., "Preparation and Evaluation of Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Defatted Soybean Flakes" A. C. Eldridge, et al., *Journal of Food Science*, Vol. 51, No. 3, 1986, pp. 584-587; "Off-Flavor Removal from Soy-

30

5

10

Protein Isolate by Using Liquid and Supercritical Carbon Dioxide" *JAOCS*, Vol. 72, no. 10, 1995, pp. 1107-1115; "Emulsifying Properties of Low-fat, Low-cholesterol Egg Yolk Prepared by Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Extraction" *Journal of Food Science*, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1996, pp. 19-23 and 43; and U.S. Patent No. 4,493,854 shows extraction of oil from soy (e.g., flakes) by CO<sub>2</sub> extraction, leaving extracted meal as a by-product. The purpose of the process is to improve the flavor of the soy products by removal of undesirable flavor materials in the soy product. The process in U.S. Patent No. 4,493,854 produces an enhanced flavor oil by tempering the initial soy material with moisture and extracting oil from the tempered soy product, but the by-product of protein and other solids is not a significantly concentrated product and is not an isolate, as it would still contain the whey, sugars and other materials not extracted by the CO<sub>2</sub>.

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

The ability to generate an isolate without the need of using acid to precipitate the protein provides a number of highly beneficial results to the practice of this technology. The use of CO<sub>2</sub> to lower the pH, as opposed to the application of an acid such as the typically used hydrochloric acid, avoids any need for subsequent purification of the isolate from materials added during the concentration of the protein. The acid must be carefully removed, usually by thorough wash steps. On the other hand, CO<sub>2</sub> or its carbonic acid product vaporize at even ambient temperature and are tasteless and harmless. This not only simplifies the process, but avoids the need for disposal of waste streams. The use of an acid requires disposal of the treating solution (acid solution) runoff as well as disposal of the wash solutions. These additional steps are more costly, time consuming and potentially polluting, especially as compared to the disposal of CO<sub>2</sub> or carbonic acid. It is also anticipated that the absence of the acid, even the trace amounts which might be present, would allow for an improved taste product.

The present invention may be generally practiced by a process which comprises providing a vegetable protein source (such as soy) material having a protein concentration of less than 80% by total weight of solids. This vegetable protein source is then concentrated to more than 90% by total weight (of all solids in the product) of protein by treating a solution/dispersion of the vegetable protein source by a series of process steps in a batch or continuous manner with the process at least comprising:

30

5

10

- a) applying a pressure of from about 400 to 1200 or 400 to 800 pounds per square inch (psi) to the solution/dispersion (this pressure may be provided primarily or exclusively by adding a CO<sub>2</sub> rich or pure CO<sub>2</sub> stream into the chamber or vessel in the batch process, while in a continuous process it may be more convenient, but not required, to first apply pressure to the section of the system containing the solution and then subsequently add the CO<sub>2</sub>);
- b) providing or adding CO<sub>2</sub> at the elevated pressure to form carbonic acid (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) in the solution/dispersion;
- c) heating the solution/dispersion, resulting in an increase in pressure;
- d) adding additional CO<sub>2</sub> to reduce the pH of the solution/dispersion;
- e) holding the pressurized and heated solution/dispersion;
- f) depressurizing the solution/dispersion; and
- g) removing precipitated protein.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

The process of the invention may be practiced as a batch or continuous process. The batch process would be performed in a single tank or vessel having the pressure and control elements desirable for the practice of the process within the defined parameters. The practice of the process as a continuous process may be performed in apparatus such as that described in U.S. Patent No. 5,432,265, which is incorporated herein by reference for the complete disclosure of the structure and method of operation of that apparatus. Other modifications on the structure of the apparatus for performing the process are within the design choice and the skill of the ordinary artisan.

A vegetable protein source (such as soy) material having a protein concentration of less than 80% by total weight of solids is concentrated to more than 90% by total weight of protein by treating a solution/dispersion of the vegetable protein source to a treatment at least comprising:

- a) applying an atmospheric pressure of from about 400 to 800 pounds per square inch (psi) to the solution/dispersion;
- b) providing or adding  $CO_2$  at the elevated pressure to form carbonic acid ( $H_2CO_3$ ) in the

30

5

10

### solution/dispersion;

- c) heating the solution/dispersion, resulting in an increase in pressure;
- d) adding additional CO<sub>2</sub> to reduce the pH of the solution/dispersion;
- e) holding the pressurized and heated solution/dispersion;
- f) depressurizing the solution/dispersion; and
- g) removing precipitated protein.

The time, temperature, pressure and pH will vary among various protein source materials. For example, with soy source materials such as flake, flour or meal, a good range of useful parameters would be a pressure between 400 to 800 psi (preferably between about 500 and 700 psi), heating between about 35 to 90 degrees Centigrade (preferably between 40 and 75 degrees Centigrade), reducing the pH to less than 6.5 (preferably to less than 6, and more preferably to less than 5.5 or less than 5, such as to 4.2-4.9), and a holding time of from 5 minutes to two hours (preferably from about 10 minutes to one hour).

The vegetable protein source may be any vegetable protein material (e.g., flake, meal, flour or residue from other treatment processes) which has a protein content of less than 80% by total weight of solids, preferably less than 70% or less than 60%, and more preferably less than 50% or less than 40% by total weight of solids. Soy sources are generally preferred, but the process finds applicability, with variations within the general scope of parameters defined in the practice of the present invention, to any grains, flakes, flour, meal or the like which has significant protein content (e.g., at least 5% by total weight of solids, preferably at least 10% or at least 15 or 20% by weight of total solids as protein). Other sources such as corn, oats, wheat, barley, rice, alfalfa and the like containing various proportions of proteins called the albumins (which are water-soluble or water-dispersible), the prolamines (which are soluble in ethanol), the globulins (which are soluble in salt solutions), and the glutelins (which are soluble in alkali solutions) could also be used in the practice of the present invention.

The solutions/dispersion of the protein source are usually provided in the product in a dispersed format, such as at least a 2% by weight solution/dispersion in an aqueous carrier or plain water. (The term 'solution' will be used hereafter, even though the protein source is more accurately a combination of solution and dispersion (or even emulsion), with various components in different states within the carrier.) The solution of protein source is usually provided in

30

5

10

sufficient concentration of the solid containing the protein source to warrant treatment and improve efficiencies of time and space. For that reason, rather than for any fundamental necessity in the chemistry or physics of the process, the weight content of the protein source (not the protein only) in the water would be at least about 4 or 5%, more likely from a commercial standpoint at least 7 or 8%, still more likely at least about 10%, at least about 15% and less than 40% by weight total solids in the solution. It is generally practiced that the solution have less than 25% by total weight of protein merely to maintain the viscosity of the solution/dispersion at an easily workable condition. The lower weights limits are selected to assure at least a minimum volume of output, and the higher limit is generally selected to assure that there is efficient precipitation of the protein. If the concentration were too high, there would be more difficulty in assuring that the carbonic acid would precipitate high levels of protein available. This higher concentration practice is still within the scope of the invention if the holding equipment were built with sufficient strength to withstand the higher pressure necessary to provide the CO<sub>2</sub> at these much higher pressures.

The initial pressure above the surface of the solution is usually provided as a pressure of from about 400 to 800 pounds per square inch (psi) to the solution/dispersion. The initial pressure in the vessel will usually be lower and the pressure may be increased at a desired rate. There is likely to be at least some CO<sub>2</sub> present in the gas over the surface of the solution in the vessel, but normal atmospheric CO2 content would not be sufficient to effect the process of the present invention. The initial solution may also be pretreated to advance the process. For example, prior to the application of pressure in the vessel or even before introduction of the solution into the vessel, the solutions may be pretreated by heating the solution (e.g., from about 30 to 75 degrees Centigrade), adding NaOH to neutralize the solution (to a pH of about 7) with stirring. The mixture would then be filtered and centrifuged. The resulting supernatants would then be chilled before the  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  treatment. These are examples of advantageous but not essential types of pretreatment steps in the practice of the present invention. Sufficient CO<sub>2</sub> should be introduced into the system to lower the pH below 7, preferably below about 6 and more preferably below about 5.5. Heating is performed either before, during or after the addition of the CO<sub>2</sub> into the system, or at a combination of these times. The heating is generally effected to provide a solution temperature of between 30 and 75 degrees Centigrade for soy protein and may

30

5

10

be varied as desired or applicable on an individual basis for the particular grains or protein source selected. This heating also increases the pressure within the reaction vessel or system and assists in keeping the  $CO_2$  in the solution.

Additional CO<sub>2</sub> is added, reducing the pH of the solution further, often by at least 0.5 pH units. The CO<sub>2</sub> may be added to effect a supercritical state over the solution to assure the effectiveness and concentration levels of the carbonic acid in the solution. The final pH is generally below 5.5, more often below 5, as for example between 4 and 5 or between 4.2 and 4.8 (e.g., 4.5). The solution in the vessel or the solution within a continuous apparatus system is then held at these conditions for a time sufficient to assist in the precipitation of the protein. Some protein will begin to precipitate early in the holding period, but it is more efficient to hold the solution for a longer period of time such as at least 1, at least 3, or at least 5 minutes, at least 10 minutes or at least 20 or at least 30 minutes. If time is not a critical factor, there is no limit to the holding period, but 10 to 60 minutes is the expected range to be considered, balanced in part by the temperature and pressure conditions which influence the precipitation efficiency of the reaction.

The pressurized solution is then depressurized and the precipitate removed (e.g., by screening, filtering or any other available physical separation method). This process has produced isolates with a protein concentration of 94.2% in a batch process from soy flakes. The isolate was expected to have good flavor.

It is important to note that the present invention uses the carbonic acid in the solution to precipitate and thereby concentrate the protein from the solution. This is substantively different than the use of supercritical  $CO_2$  to remove trace flavor materials as practiced in mere extraction processes such as those identified above. Extraction removes either desirable materials from a mass (so that the desirable materials, such as oils, may be collected) or removes minor amounts of undesirable materials from a mass (such as the removal of objectionable flavors from soy, as described above). There is no specific objective in concentrating the proteins in the solution from 5 to 30% by weight solids in the initial solution to more than 85%, more than 87% or more than 90% by total weight of solids in a final product. Extraction processes, in fact, are usually performed on concentrates and isolates and reference is seldom if ever made to any further concentration of the solids, even though some minor increase of the percentage of protein in the

solid product is likely to occur. Additionally, these extraction processes often act to remove materials which are soluble in the CO<sub>2</sub> rather than act to precipitate materials by a process where after adding the flakes, meal or flour to water there is a dissolution of the albumins and glutelins into the water of the solution or dispersion. By bringing the pH to 7 or higher, through the addition of a base such as the NaOH (although this is not necessary for soy), there is probably higher dissolution of the glutelin into the aqueous portion of the solution. When working with corn, NaOH is sometimes added to loosen protein-protein matrices so that protein is released (e.g., "Corn-Chemistry and Technology" S. A. Watson and P. E. Ramstad, eds., American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc. St. Paul, MN). Globulins would solubilize, probably because of the release of salts from the legume or grain into the dispersion. At this point, the dispersion may be centrifuged to remove the pellet containing fiber, some carbohydrates, and some fats (if the feed material wasn't defatted), unsolubilized protein, minerals, etc. The prolamine proteins, if any, remain in the pellet.

When CO<sub>2</sub> is added to the dispersion or to the supernatant (if the solution/dispersion was centrifuged), there is a drop in pH due to the formation of carbonic acid from the CO<sub>2</sub>. The carbonic acid reacts with any base if present to form, for example, sodium carbonate and sodium bicarbonate salts, as well as salts from any minerals present in the dispersion/solution, thereby solubilizing additional globulins from the pellet. The drop in pH, along with the formation of salts causes a change in the solubility of the glutelins, globulins, and albumins, and they precipitate out of the solution/dispersion. However, upon release of pressure, the pH returns almost to the original value of the solution/dispersion before introduction of the CO<sub>2</sub>, and indication that most of the CO<sub>2</sub> has evolved. Therefore there are no contaminating salts in the whey. In conventional precipitation with hydrochloric acid (Hcl), the hydrochloric acid would remain in the whey and the final pH would be about 4.4. The freshly precipitated soy protein isolate has a gel or curdlike appearance (possibly indicative of protein-protein interactions) in contrast to the hydrochloric acid treated material, which has a granular appearance.

With regard to copending, commonly assigned application processes such as the whey process described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/996,136, whey proteins are comprised of alpha-lactalbumin (alpha-La, about 30%) and beta-lactalbumin (about 50%), the rest being immunoglobulins (Igs), Bovine serum albumin (BSA), and proteose-peptones. An enriched

30

10

fraction of alpha-La containing the alpha-La, Igs, BSA and proteose-peptones were isolated. The mechanism appears to be a combination of pH, heat, and possibly salt formation. The pH is initially lowered with CO<sub>2</sub> and probably causes a release of calcium from the alpha-La and changes the conformation of the protein. The calcium probably exists in solution as a bicarbonate. Addition of heat above 50°C, along with the depressed pH causes the alpha-La to form aggregates. The alpha-La most likely entraps the Igs, BSA and maybe the proteose-peptones. The aggregates get big enough and drop out of the whey solution/dispersion as a precipitate, with the breakdown of the linkages causing the casein to precipitate. In this case the heat does not seem to foster aggregation, but changes the mechanical strength of the protein.

In U.S. patent No. 5,432,265, the casein precipitation process was used with CO<sub>2</sub> to demonstrate the fact that the process can operate under high pressure continuously. Although the present invention establishes that the apparatus described therein can be used for the present process, the chemistry of the soy process and other isolate manufacturing processes is completely different, as are the operating conditions. In a CO<sub>2</sub>-soy protein isolate process, there is no need for NaOH pretreatment. The feed piston pump used to feed the milk process would be replaced, for example, by a progressing cavity pump or a screw feeder. If there were a pretreatment with NaOH, that extraction stage would be followed by centrifuging, and then a piston feed pump, such as that used with the milk process, could be used. Different residence times would also require changes in the size of the reactor and flow rates which could not be established except by operation of the novel soy-protein process. The mechanism of the removal/concentration of proteins in U.S. Patent No. 5,432,265 is also substantially different from that occurring in the present invention, so it would not have been obvious to attempt to use the system of that patent to effect the process of the present invention with an expectation that a substantively different process mechanism with substantially different results would occur. In the process of U.S. Patent No. 5,432,265, the component being removed is casein, a protein product comprising proteins linked by calcium phosphate bonds. One of the first steps in the process of U.S. Patent No. 5,432,265 is to break these bonds so that individual proteins are held in solution/dispension. That process then adjusts the temperature of the solution/dispersion, causing the proteins to agglomerate, entrapping other solids and dissolved materials within the network of agglomerated proteins. This process is specifically temperature dependent and the proteins precipitate as

30

5

10

agglomerated materials. In the present invention, there is no dissolving of calcium phosphate bonds to free proteins, there is no agglomeration of proteins, proteins precipitate by more traditional physical phenomena where the change in pH of the solution/dispersion causes decreased solubility of selected proteins, and those specific proteins (which fortuitously happen to be the desirable proteins) precipitate from the solution/dispersion, leaving other dissolved and carried materials within the solution/dispersion. The fundamental nature of the precipitation process of the present invention for proteins is different and unexpected from the agglomeration process which occurs in the casein removal process of U.S. Patent No. 5,432,265.

With regard to the general extraction process patents described above, these mechanisms rely upon the differences in density between the oils and CO<sub>2</sub>. At supercritical pressures of around 10,000 psi, CO<sub>2</sub> has a density and other properties that mimic those of a liquid solvent. At supercritical pressures of around 10,000 psi, CO<sub>2</sub> has a density that mimics a liquid solvent. The supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> also exhibits transport properties, such as viscosity and diffusivity, that mimic a gas. In operation, the practitioners typically pack a very small column with soy flakes (or other material), pressurize with CO<sub>2</sub>, circulate the CO<sub>2</sub> through the column for a couple of hours to dissolve oil and establish equilibrium, and then crack open a valve to a flask. The rapid decrease in pressure causes the CO<sub>2</sub> to gasify and the oil previously carried by the CO<sub>2</sub> to precipitate into the flask. The flakes don't move continuously through the process, whereas in the present invention, where a continuous process would be performed, all solids and liquids would move. More importantly, in the extraction process, only the oil and essentially hydrocarbon soluble materials are absorbed into the supercritical gas stream, but there is no precipitation of protein from a solution/dispersion.

#### **EXAMPLE**

A 10% dispersion of soy flakes in water was prepared by placing 100 grams of flakes into 1000 grams of water. The flakes were Specialty 90 flakes from Cargill Protein Products

Department (analysis 56% protein; 7% moisture; 1% fat; 3.2% fiber; and 6.3% ash). NaOH was added to bring the solution to pH 7.0, the solution heated to 55°C and stirred for 30 minutes.

This was then followed by centrifuging. The pellet was discarded and 750 grams of the supernatant was used to fill a Parr high pressure batch reactor. The supernatant was chilled to

6OC to increase the solubility of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  when added to the high pressure reactor vessel. The lid to the reactor was secured and  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  was allowed to fill the reactor until a pressure of 600 psi was indicated on a Bourdon type gauge. The pH of the solution was measured at this pressure with a high pressure pH probe and was measured at about 5.8. The contents of the reactor were then heated to  $50^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  by heating through the jacket of the reactor. Additional  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  was added until the pressure of the reactor was about 1040 psi. The pH of the mixture was about 4.5. Carbon dioxide was supplied from a cylinder equipped with a dip tube. Cylinder pressure was about 850 psi. The pressure was boosted to about 1040 psi using a Haskell pump. After approximately 25 minutes, the liquid was removed from a valve depressurizing the reactor. The lid was opened and the protein was scraped out of the reactor. The liquid was centrifuged to remove any solids, and these scraped solids were added to the other solids removed from the reactor. The mixture from the reactor had a solids content of 38% by weight. Analytical results showed that the product contained 95% protein and 0.9% fat. The solid material did not have to be further purified.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED:

- 1. A process for providing a concentrate of vegetable protein comprising:
  - a) applying a pressure of from about 400 to 800 pounds per square inch (psi) to an initial solution/dispersion of vegetable protein and associated vegetable solids;
  - b) providing or adding CO<sub>2</sub> at the elevated pressure to form carbonic acid (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) in the solution/dispersion;
  - c) heating the solution/dispersion, resulting in an increase in pressure;
  - d) adding additional CO<sub>2</sub> to the solution dispersion to reduce the pH of the solution/dispersion;
  - e) holding the pressurized and heated solution/dispersion;
  - f) depressurizing the solution/dispersion; and
  - g) removing solid precipitate which has a higher concentration of protein than the initial solution/dispersion.
- 2. The process of claim 1 wherein said initial solution dispersion comprises a solution or dispersion of soy solids.
- 3. The process of claim 2 wherein said soy solids comprise a material selected from the group consisting of meal, flour and flake.
- 4. The process of claim 1 wherein said vegetable protein and associated vegetable solids is neutralized before step a).
- 5. The process of claim 1 wherein the concentration of protein in the solid precipitate of step g) has a protein concentration of at least 90% by total weight of solids.
- 6. The process of claim 2 wherein the concentration of protein in the solid precipitate of step g) has a protein concentration of at least 90% by total weight of solids.

- 7. The process of claim 3 wherein the concentration of protein in the solid precipitate of step g) has a protein concentration of at least 90% by total weight of solids.
- 8. The process of claim 1 wherein the protein is soy protein and the concentration of soy protein in the solid precipitate of step g) has a protein concentration of at least 90% by total weight of solids.
- 9. The process of claim 2 wherein the pH in step d) is reduced to between 4.2 and 4.8.
- 10. The process of claim 7 wherein the pH in step d) is reduced to between 4.2 and 4.8.
- 11. The process of claim 2 wherein holding time in step e) is for between 10 and 60 minutes.
- 12. The process of claim 11 wherein the holding time is at a temperature between 30 and 75 degrees Centigrade and a pressure between 400 and 1200 psi.
- 13. The process of claim 2 wherein the pH in step d) is reduced to between 4.2 and 5.0.
- 14. The process of claim 7 wherein the pH in step d) is reduced to between 4.2 and 5.0.

# **DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION**

Docket No.	0009.96	
	(Page 1 of 1)	

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

[ ]			11.55. 3 00 4			,	
L ] w	as filed on		_ as United States App		erorPCIInte	rnational Applica	ation Number
	a	ina was amende	ed on (if appli	cable).			
l hereby sta	ate that I have	e reviewed and ι	inderstand the contents	s of the above	dentified spec	ification, includi	ng the claims, as
		lment referred to				07 057 04 50	
i acknowie i bereby d	age the auty i	to alsciose intori iority benefits ur	mation which is materia ider 35 U.S.C. §119(a)	i to patentabilit -(d) or 8365(b)	y as defined if	1 3/ CFR §1.56.	'or natont or inventor's
certificate,	or §365(a) of	any PCT Intern	ational application whic	h designated a	t least one co	untry other than	the United States, lis
below and	have also ide	ntified below, by	checking the box, any	foreign applica	ition for paten	t or inventor's co	
	al application gn Applicatior		ate before that of the a	pplication on w			1
- HOI FOIEI	gri Application	1(5)			PIIC	rity Not Claimed	1
(Numbe	.r)	(Country)	(Day/Mont	h/Year Filed)		Ц	
(Manusc	1)	(Country)	(Day)(VIOIII	in real rileu)			
(Numbe	r)	(Country)	(Dav/Mont	h/Year Filed)			
•	•	` "		•			
i nereby cia	aim the benet	it under 35 U.S.	C. §119(e) of any Unite	ed States provis	sional applicat	ion(s) listed belo	PW.
	A		-	<del></del>			
<u> </u>	Application Numb		(Filing Date)				
(/	Application Numb	ber)	(Filing Date)				
disclosed i §112. I ac	n the prior Un knowledge th	ited States or Pole duty to disclos	ow and, insofar as the s CT International applica se information which is rior application and the	ation in the mai material to pate	ner provided entability as de	by the first paragined in 37 CFR	graph of 35 U.S.C.
disclosed ii §112. I ac available b	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili	ited States or Pole duty to disclos	CT International applicate information which is in information which is in it in application and the	ation in the mai material to pate national or PC	nner provided entability as de T Internationa	by the first paragifined in 37 CFR al filing date of the	graph of 35 U.S.C.
disclosed ii §112. I ac available b	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili ation Number)	ited States or Pole duty to disclos	CT International applicate information which is a rior application and the (Filing Date)	ation in the mai material to pate national or PC	ner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending,	by the first paragifined in 37 CFR all filing date of the	graph of 35 U.S.C.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili ration Number)	ited States or Po e duty to disclos ing date of the p	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)	ation in the mai material to pate national or PC (Statuspa	nner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending, tented, pending,	by the first para fined in 37 CFR Il filing date of th abandoned)	graph of 35 U.S.C. §1.56 which became is application.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applied (Applied (Applied )	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk	e duty to disclosing date of the p	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to pro	ation in the mai material to pate national or PC (Statuspa	nner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending, tented, pending,	by the first para fined in 37 CFR Il filing date of th abandoned)	graph of 35 U.S.C. §1.56 which became is application.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applied (Applied (Applied )	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk	ited States or Po e duty to disclos ing date of the p	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to pro	ation in the mai material to pate national or PC (Statuspa	nner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending, tented, pending,	by the first para fined in 37 CFR Il filing date of th abandoned)	graph of 35 U.S.C. §1.56 which became is application.
disclosed ii §112. I ac available b (Applic (Applic I hereby ap and Trader	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk mark Office co	e duty to disclosing date of the p	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to pro	ation in the mai material to pate national or PC (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, blication and t	by the first para, fined in 37 CFR Il filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus	graph of 35 U.S.C. \$\frac{9}{5}1.56 which became is application. \$\frac{1}{5}1.56 which became is application. \$\frac{1}{5}1.56 which became is application.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard	n the prior Un knowledge th etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk mark Office of Silverstein (22	e duty to disclosing date of the p  bwing attorney(s onnected therev 2,699);John D. Fa	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rib	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa esecute this ap	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, plication and tented tente	by the first para, fined in 37 CFR al filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all but a (34,078); Joseph	graph of 35 U.S.C. \$\frac{1}{2}\text{S} \text{1.56 which became is application.}  siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all	n the prior Un knowledge the etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk mark Office of Silverstein (22 I corresponde	e duty to disclosing date of the powing attorney(sonnected thereway, 1999); John D. Falence to: Josephence to:	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rit  A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa esecute this ap pando (27,976);I	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, plication and the Randall E. Decision/1681-6514	by the first para, fined in 37 CFR al filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all but (34,078); Joseph 1, fax 309/681-6	graph of 35 U.S.C. \$\text{8}\text{1.56 which became is application.}  siness in the Patent of A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all	n the prior Un knowledge the etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk mark Office of Silverstein (22 I corresponde	owing attorney(sonnected therevence to: Joseph USDA-/	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rib  A. Lipovsky at teleph  A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Ce	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa esecute this ap pando (27,976);I	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, plication and the Randall E. Decision/1681-6514	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078);Joseph 1, fax 309/681-6	graph of 35 U.S.C. Spraph
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all	n the prior Un knowledge the etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk mark Office of Silverstein (22 I corresponde	owing attorney(sonnected therevence to: Joseph USDA-/1815 N.	CT International applicate information which is prior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Celluriversity Street	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa esecute this ap pando (27,976);I	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, plication and the Randall E. Decision/1681-6514	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078);Joseph 1, fax 309/681-6	graph of 35 U.S.C. \$\text{8}\text{1.56 which became is application.}  siness in the Patent of A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all	n the prior Un knowledge the etween the fili sation Number) sation Number) opoint the folk mark Office of Silverstein (22 I corresponde	owing attorney(sonnected therevence to: Joseph USDA-/1815 N.	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rib  A. Lipovsky at teleph  A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Ce	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa esecute this ap pando (27,976);I	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, plication and the Randall E. Decision/1681-6514	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078);Joseph 1, fax 309/681-6	graph of 35 U.S.C. \$\text{8}\text{1.56 which becam is application.}  siness in the Patent of A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader Address all Address all I hereby de	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) pation Number) point the folkomark Office commark Office commark (22) I corresponded corresponded corresponded ecclare that all second the second corresponded correspond	owing attorney(sonnected thereverse); John D. Faence to: Joseph USDA-/1815 N. Peoria,	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulous Street IL 61604  e herein of my own known in the contraction of my own known in the contraction of the contraction	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);i none number Advisor enter for Agric	nner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and tented and tented te	by the first para, fined in 37 CFR al filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) to transact all but (34,078); Joseph 1, fax 309/681-6 ation Research	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader Address all Address all I hereby debelief are be	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) pation Number) point the folkomark Office commark Office commark (22) I corresponded corresponded corresponded to be	owing attorney(sonnected therevolus), John D. Farence to: Joseph USDA-Ja15 N. Peoria, statements mad true; and further	CT International applicate information which is prior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulorsity Street IL 61604  e herein of my own known that these statements	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);i none number Advisor enter for Agric	nner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and the Randall E. Deci 309/681-6514 ultural Utilizate e and that all the knowled	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) to transact all but ( (34,078); Joseph I, fax 309/681-6 ation Research statements mad lige that willful fa	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.  It on information and the statements and the
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all Address all I hereby de belief are beliek so mac	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) cation Number)	e duty to disclosing date of the powing attorney(sonnected therevolument of the powing attorney); John D. Fallonce to: Joseph USDA-Ja15 N. Peoria, statements maditrue; and further able by fine or in	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulor Company (Company Company Company (Company Company Company (Company Company Company Company (Company	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);i none number Advisor enter for Agric owledge are tru were made with nder Section 1	nner provided entability as de T Internationa tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and tented tented. Pending and tented	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078); Joseph I, fax 309/681-6 ation Research statements mad lige that willful fa 3 of the United S	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all Address all I hereby de belief are beliek so mac such willful	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) pation Number) point the followark Office of Silverstein (22) I corresponded corresponded corresponded to be the are punished false statement.	owing attorney(sonnected therevolume to: Joseph USDA-Jatte No. Peoria, statements mad true; and further able by fine or inent may jeopardi	CT International applicate information which is prior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith  A. Lipovsky at teleph  A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulorsity Street  IL 61604  e herein of my own known that these statements apprisonment, or both, uze the validity of the apprison and the control of the statements apprisonment, or both, uze the validity of the apprison and the control of the statements apprisonment, or both, uze the validity of the apprison and the control of the c	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);I none number Advisor enter for Agric owledge are tru were made with nder Section 1 plication or any	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and the Randall E. Deci 309/681-6514 ultural Utilizate e and that all the knowled out of Title 134 patent issued	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078); Joseph I, fax 309/681-6 ation Research statements mad lige that willful fa 3 of the United S	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all Address all I hereby de belief are beliek so mac such willful	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) pation Number) point the followark Office of Silverstein (22) I corresponded corresponded corresponded to be the are punished false statement.	owing attorney(sonnected therevolume to: Joseph USDA-Jatte No. Peoria, statements mad true; and further able by fine or inent may jeopardi	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulor Company (Company Company Company (Company Company Company (Company Company Company Company (Company	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);I none number Advisor enter for Agric owledge are tru were made with nder Section 1 plication or any	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and the Randall E. Deci 309/681-6514 ultural Utilizate e and that all the knowled out of Title 134 patent issued	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078); Joseph I, fax 309/681-6 ation Research statements mad lige that willful fa 3 of the United S	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.  It on information and ilse statements and the
disclosed in §112. I ac available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all Address all I hereby de belief are beliek so mac such willful	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) action Nu	owing attorney(sonnected therevolume to: Joseph USDA-Jatte No. Peoria, statements mad true; and further able by fine or inent may jeopardi	CT International applicate information which is prior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith  A. Lipovsky at teleph  A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulorsity Street  IL 61604  e herein of my own known that these statements apprisonment, or both, uze the validity of the apprison and the control of the statements apprisonment, or both, uze the validity of the apprison and the control of the statements apprisonment, or both, uze the validity of the apprison and the control of the c	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);I none number Advisor enter for Agric owledge are tru were made with nder Section 1 plication or any	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and the Randall E. Deci 309/681-6514 ultural Utilizate e and that all the knowled out of Title 134 patent issued	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078); Joseph I, fax 309/681-6 ation Research statements mad lige that willful fa 3 of the United S	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.  It on information and ilse statements and the
disclosed in §112. I ac available be available be (Applie (Applie I hereby ap and Trader M. Howard Address all Address all I hereby de belief are belieke so mac such willful Full name of the south and the south address all the south address a	n the prior Unknowledge the tween the filination Number) pation Number) point the folkomark Office consideration of the folkomark Office of the folkomar	e duty to disclosing date of the properties of t	CT International applicate information which is rior application and the (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  (Filing Date)  ) and/or agent(s) to provith:  do (27,876);Curtis P. Rith A. Lipovsky at teleph A. Lipovsky, Patent ARS-OTT-National Cellulous Control of the C	(Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa (Statuspa secute this ap pando (27,976);In none number Advisor enter for Agric owledge are tru were made with nder Section 1 plication or any	nner provided entability as de T International tented, pending, tented, pending, olication and the Randall E. Declaron and the Randall E. Declaron and the Landall E. Decl	by the first para- fined in 37 CFR if filing date of the abandoned) abandoned) o transact all bus ( (34,078); Joseph I, fax 309/681-6 ation Research statements mad lige that willful fa 3 of the United S	graph of 35 U.S.C.  § 1.56 which became is application.  Siness in the Patent  A. Lipovsky (34,526)  6688.  It on information and ilse statements and the